



Opinion

SOUTH CHINA SEA DISPUTES; What states should do to clarify claims

Robert Beckman for the Straits Times

1016 words

8 August 2012

[Straits Times](#)

STIMES

English

(c) 2012 Singapore Press Holdings Limited

WHEN we observe the flurry of actions and reactions of states causing increased tension in the South China Sea, it often appears that there are no rules of international law governing claimant states' activities, and that it is all a game of power politics.

This is simply not the case.

The 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (Unclos) is of fundamental importance to the South China Sea disputes for three reasons.

First, it establishes a detailed legal framework setting out the rights and obligations of states with respect to uses of the oceans. All of the states with maritime claims in the South China Sea (China, Brunei, Malaysia, the Philippines and Vietnam) are parties to Unclos and are legally bound by its provisions.

Second, Unclos sets out the maritime zones which coastal states can claim from land territory over which they have sovereignty. For example, coastal states have a right to establish a 12 nautical mile (nm) territorial sea adjacent to their coasts in which they have sovereignty, subject to all states' right to innocent passage.

Unclos also allows for coastal states to have the right to an exclusive economic zone (EEZ) reaching out to 200nm from their coasts, and with sovereign rights for the purpose of exploring and exploiting the living and non-living resources of the waters and of the seabed and subsoil.

Under the EEZ regime, coastal states have sovereign rights to exploit the fishery resources in their EEZ, and they can prohibit fishing by other states, including states whose nationals have habitually fished in their EEZ.

Third, Unclos sets out the maritime zones that can be claimed by coastal states from offshore geographic features.

One of the major sources of tension in the South China Sea is that many of the claimant states have made maritime claims that are ambiguous or which are not completely consistent with the provisions of Unclos.

If claimant states took measures to bring their maritime claims into strict conformity with their rights and obligations under Unclos, it would be of great help in clarifying the maritime disputes in the South China Sea. There are three types of measures the claimant states should take.

First, the claimant states that are claiming a 200nm EEZ from their mainland coast (or from their main archipelago in the case of the Philippines) should, if they have not already done so, give official notice of the outer limit of their EEZ by publishing charts or lists of geographic coordinates, as required by Unclos.

In addition, if they have measured their 12nm territorial sea and 200nm EEZ from straight baselines along their coast, they should, if they have not already done so, give official notice of such baselines by publishing charts or lists of geographic coordinates, as required by Unclos.

Second, the claimant states should identify the names and locations of islands over which they claim sovereignty. This is important because states can claim sovereignty only over offshore features which meet the definition of an island - and only islands are entitled to a territorial sea and other maritime zones.

An island is defined as "a naturally formed area of land, surrounded by water, which is above water at high tide".

Most of the geographic features in the South China Sea are reefs, shoals, cays or low-tide elevations which are not above water at high tide. One academic study concluded that less than 25 per cent of the approximately 170 geographic features in the Spratly Islands meet the definition of an island.

Third, if the claimant states believe that any of the islands they claim is entitled to an EEZ and continental shelf of its own, they should identify such islands and give notice of the EEZ claim from them by publishing official charts or lists of geographic coordinates of the limits of such claims, as required by Unclos.

This is important because most of the islands in the South China Sea are tiny, uninhabitable rocks. Under Unclos, "rocks which cannot sustain human habitation or economic life of their own" are not entitled to an EEZ or continental shelf.

Joint development in area of overlapping claims

IF THE claimant states took the above measures, it would bring their maritime claims into conformity with Unclos, and the areas of overlapping maritime claims could be identified.

Once the areas of overlapping maritime claims have been identified, the convention obligates the states concerned to enter into provisional arrangements of a practical nature, pending the final agreement on the delimitation of maritime boundaries. Such provisional arrangements can include agreements to jointly develop the fisheries or hydrocarbon resources.

Further, Unclos provides that during the transitional period, states must not take unilateral action in the overlapping maritime areas which would jeopardise or hamper the reaching of a final agreement on the delimitation of the maritime boundaries.

Finally, such provisional arrangements are without prejudice to any claims to territorial sovereignty over islands and to the final delimitation of the maritime boundaries.

If the claimant states brought their maritime claims into conformity with Unclos as set out above, it would clarify the areas of overlapping claims. It would also be consistent with the July 20, 2012 Statement of the Asean Foreign Ministers on Asean's Six-Point Principles, in which they reaffirmed the members' commitment to fully respect the universally recognised principles of international law, including Unclos. This would set the stage for negotiations between the claimant states to attempt to reach provisional arrangements, including joint development agreements.

As recognised many years ago by the late Chinese leader Deng Xiaoping, the only viable way to deal with the intractable territorial sovereignty disputes in the South China Sea is to set the disputes aside and jointly develop the resources.

The writer is director of the Centre for International Law and associate professor at the National University of Singapore's Faculty of Law, as well as an adjunct senior fellow at the [S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies](#), Nanyang Technological University.

Singapore Press Holdings Limited

Document STIMES0020120808e88800025

© 2012 Factiva, Inc. All rights reserved.