CENTRE FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE

Seminar

ADJUDICATION OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND INVESTMENT DISPUTES: WTO AND ICSID — SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES

1 February 2012



General Exceptions Clauses: WTO and International Investment Agreements (IIAs) Experience

Giorgio Sacerdoti

- Professor of International and European Law, Bocconi University,
- □ Attorney, Eversheds Bianchini (Of Counsel), Milan, Italy
 - Former Member (2001-9) and Chairman (2006-7), Appellate Body, WTO, Geneva
 - Arbitrator, ICSID and international commercial arbitration



General Approach

- **□** A. comparison of main features:
 - Similarities and differences
- **□** B. justification for differences

Context of dispute settlement

□ WTO:

- Inter-state,
- Multilateral treaty
- Int'l trade law is an organic sub-system of PIL

□ ICSID:

- Private investor-Host State,
- Bilateral treaties (BIT), contracts
- Int'l investment law is a legal "patchwork"

Subject Matter

WTO:

□ Breach of market access commitment by importer Member

ICSID:

 Breach of treatment obligation by Host State (protection against arbitrary, discriminatory treatment, expropriation, breach of official commitments or contractual obligations of Host State)



Scope of Dispute settlement system (DSS)

WTO:

- □ Enforce market access commitments for exporters of complaining Member
- □ Ensure respect of multilateral obligations (security and predictability) for all Members

ICSID:

□ Protect rights of individual protected foreign investor (claimant)



Relation with traditional State-to-State remedies

WTO (State-to-State):

 is often a form of diplomatic protection, but no exhaustion of local remedies required

ICSID (private investor to State):

- □ alternative to diplomatic protection (Art. 27)
- □ normally alternative to domestic proceedings ("fork in road", Art.26)



Law Applicable to Dispute

WTO:

- □ Breach of: Multilateral Trade Agreements
- □ To be evaluated: under Customary int'l law of treaty interpretation

- □ Breach of: Relevant BIT, law or contract
- □ To be evaluated under: BIT standards, customary int'l law, Host State Law

JURISDICTIONAL BASIS

WTO:

- □ Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU)
- □ Previous consultation for "satisfactory settlement" (Art. 3 DSU)

- □ ICSID Convention and Rules, BIT (or Uncitral Rules if Uncitral arbitration used under BIT), NAFTA, CAFTA, other FTAs
- Requirement of 6-month advanced notice in some BITs



Procedural key features-1

WTO:

- □ Administered, speed, no cost
- □ Confidential, Amici curiae (?), open hearings (?)
- Third Parties: WTO Members (multi-bilateral)

- Administered (not so Uncitral), cost reimbursement
- Confidential, Amici(?), no open hearing (?)
- □ No Third Parties (but role of Contracting States in NAFTA)

Procedural key features-2 Adjudication

both impartial, independent, rule-based:

WTO:

- □ Two stages:
- □ ad hoc Panel (arbitral features)
- □ Appellate Body: permanent Court, appeal in law

- Ad hoc arbitration
- possible recourse to Ad Hoc Annulment Committee for grave errors

Effect, nature of Decision

WTO

- Report, becomes "automatically" binding upon adoption by WTO membership
- □ Binds parties, but indirectly also: precedent for future cases and guidance for all Members (stability and predictability of WTO rules)

- □ Award binding for parties only
- □ Limited precedential value

Content of Decision

WTO: declarative, and

- Withdraw, modify WTO-inconsistant Measure (implementation obligation)
- □ Reinstate balance of trade rights and obligations
- Mutually agreed compensation as alternative

ICSID: declarative, and

 award to claimant of damages suffered due to breach of BIT / FTA / contract by host State



Enforcement – Remedies if non implementation

WTO:

- Multilateral surveillance of implementation
- Multilaterally authorized trade sanctions

- Automatic recognition of Awards
- □ Damages enforcement under domestic law
- □ Indirect consequences for non-implementing Host State (?)

B- Reasons for different regime

WTO:

- Subject matter is multilaterally inter-State negotiated trade rules and barriers reductions
- ☐ Individual domestic traders or sectors are affected: but Home State decides/runs litigation
- Shortcomings if domestic application at issue
- protection for respondent State by Art. XX exceptions

- □ Subject matter: host State BIT commitments to fair treatment, (not under multilateral treaties) or agreed with investor
- Conditions of competition in host market protected
- □ Limited protection if general measures are at issue



Mutual Support – Possible Interference

- □ Multilevel Judicial Governance
- **□** Conflict of Jurisdictions
- □ Comity of Judges
- □ Level of authority, competence
- □ Same rules same interpretation?
 - National treatment, MFN, like product/service, necessity defense