



CIL Fireside Chat Series on International Law and Diplomacy

Hosted by Professor S Jayakumar and Professor Tommy Koh

Date: Venue:

27 October 2014 (Monday), 4.30 PM to 6.30 PM Seminar Room 4-4, Level 4, Block B, NUS Bukit Timah Campus 469 Bukit Timah Road, Singapore 259756



Panelists:

Sir Franklin Berman KCMG QC

Essex Court Chambers Member of the Court of Arbitration

Mr Rodman Bundy

Director, Eversheds LLP Counsel for India

Hosts:

Professor S Jayakumar Professor Tommy Koh

To register, please email the following to **cil.events@nus.edu.sg**:

Full Name: University: Faculty / Institute: Email Address:

An email confirmation will be provided.

The Judicial Regulation of Shared Freshwater Resources: Indus Waters Kishenganga Arbitration (Pakistan v. India)

In September 1960, India and Pakistan signed the Indus Water Treaty to regulate the utilisation of the waters of the Indus system of rivers. In May 2010, Pakistan instituted arbitral proceedings against India under the Treaty, requesting an arbitral tribunal to determine whether the Treaty permits India to (i) divert the waters of the Kishenganga River under the Kishenganga Hydro-Electric Project (KHEP) and (ii) deplete or bring the reservoir level of run-of-river hydroelectric plants below dead storage level.

In December 2010, a Court of Arbitration was constituted. In February 2013, the Court issued a Partial Award concluding that India has the right to divert the waters of the Kishenganga river; however, this right is subject to the constraints provided in the Treaty and customary international law. The Court also held that (i) India must maintain a minimum flow of water in the Kishenganga River and that (ii) India may not employ drawdown flushing at the KHEP reservoir to an extent that would entail depletion of the reservoir below dead storage level.

In its Final Award of December 2013, the Court determined the minimum flow of 9 cumecs that India must release into the Kishenganga River. It noted, however, that given the uncertainty in predicting environmental changes, this rate would be open to reconsideration.

Against the special background of the case, including its legal, economic and environmental aspects, the 13th session of CIL Fireside Chat Series will discuss the techniques used by the Court of Arbitration to set a framework for the use of shared freshwater resources, and compare them with other recent international decisions.

Note: The Chatham House Rule will apply for the CIL Fireside Chat Series.

ABOUT THE CENTRE FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW (CIL)

The Centre for International Law (CIL) is based at the Bukit Timah campus of the National University of Singapore. CIL's mission is to enable Singapore and the Asia-Pacific region to play a more significant role in the promotion and development of international law and policy. The Centre's aim is to become the region's intellectual hub and thought leader for research on and teaching of international law and policy. The Director of CIL is Associate Professor Robert C Beckman. For more information, please visit the CIL website at www.cil.nus.edu.sg or email: cil.info@nus.edu.sg.

Centre for International Law, National University of Singapore Bukit Timah Campus, Block B, #02-01, 469 Bukit Timah Road, Singapore 259756