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1. OUTLINE

An important tension in ASEAN’s development as an international organisation is whether the ‘ASEAN Way’ — defined by consultation and consensus, rather than enforceable obligations — is consistent with the goal of making it a community governed by law. A key step towards that goal is measuring compliance with the obligations negotiated under ASEAN auspices. This module examines the changing attitudes towards compliance in ASEAN, as well as the practice and possibilities of monitoring member state compliance.

2. OBJECTIVES

Students who complete the course will develop an understanding of compliance in international law and the role of monitoring as a tool of compliance. With regard to ASEAN in particular, students will understand the changing role of compliance in ASEAN and the evolving monitoring mechanisms that have been used over time. Students will also become familiar with the various possibilities available for the future, including who does the monitoring, how data are collected, when monitoring should take place, what powers monitors should have, and the role of transparency.

3. READING MATERIALS

The main text is


https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316162248

Further readings are indicated under specific topics below.
4. **TOPICS**

4.1. **The ‘ASEAN Way’ and the Rule of Law**

*What is the ‘ASEAN Way’? Is it compatible with the rule of law? Why, or why not? What barriers, if any, hinder ASEAN’s development as a rules-based organization? How important is compliance, anyway?*

Required reading:

Chesterman, *From Community to Compliance*, pp. 1-12.

Further reading:


4.2. **The Evolution of Monitoring in ASEAN**

*How has monitoring been used in ASEAN? For what purposes? How effectively? Are some purposes more legitimate than others? Are some more effective than others?*

Required reading:

Chesterman, *From Community to Compliance*, pp. 59-78.

Further reading:


4.3. **A Monitoring Toolkit**
What are the possibilities for future monitoring of compliance in ASEAN? Who should do it? How should data be collected? When? What powers should monitors be given? How important is transparency? Will more effective monitoring strengthen ASEAN as a rules-based organization? Will it be consistent with the ‘ASEAN way’?

Required reading:

Chesterman, From Community to Compliance, pp. 79-99.

Further reading:


